

City of Broken Arrow

Request for Action

File #: 19-1396, Version: 1

Broken Arrow City Council Meeting of: 11-18-2019

Title:

Consideration, discussion, and possible approval of PUD-294 (Planned Unit Development) and BAZ-2036 (Rezoning), Spring Creek Plaza, 13.78 acres, CG (Commercial General) and R-2 (Single-Family Residential) to PUD-294/CH (Commercial Heavy), located south and east of the southeast corner of Tucson Street (121st Street) and Elm Place (161st East Avenue)

Background:

Planned Unit Development (PUD)-294 involves a 13.78-acre parcel located south and east of the southeast corner of Tucson Street (121st Street) and Elm Place (161st East Avenue). The property is presently zoned CG (Commercial General) and R-2 (Single-Family Residential). In conjunction with PUD-294, applicant has submitted BAZ-2036, a request to change the underlying zoning from CG and R-2 to CH (Commercial Heavy).

PUD-196, BAZ-1823, and SP-231 were approved by the City Council on February 17, 2009. BAZ-1823 requested to change the zoning on the property from CG and R-2 to CH. The development was proposed to have mini-storage and indoor RV storage which required a specific use permit to be approved on the property. These requests were approved subject to the property being platted but no plat was completed for the development and thus the PUD has expired.

With PUD-294, the applicant is proposing a development which contains retail, restaurant, office, indoor RV storage, and mini storage. PUD-294 is very similar in context and design with the previously approved PUD-196. The main difference being that PUD-196 proposed a street through the development, where PUD-294 designates that general area for a drainage channel. PUD-294 is also more restrictive of permitted uses in Tracts C and D.

In the meeting of October 24, 2019, the Planning Commission recommended approval (5-0 vote) of PUD-294 and BAZ-2036 per Staff recommendation with two added requirements. The first requirement is to state in the PUD that no semi-truck parking will be allowed on Tract G. The second is to restrict windows on the east side of any two-story building on Tract E to be clearstory or opaque windows located not less than 6-feet in height from the floor of the second story.

Three residents from surrounding neighborhoods spoke in opposition to the proposed development. Residents were concerned with privacy due to second story offices overlooking backyards, reduced property values, light and noise of RV storage, and obstructed views. A letter of protest was submitted from a resident and is and an attachment to this report.

Cost: \$0

File #: 19-1396, Version: 1

Funding Source: None

Requested By: Larry R. Curtis, Community Development Director

Approved By: City Manager Office

Attachments: Case map

Aerial photo

PUD-294 design statement

Letter of Protest from neighboring property owner to Planning Commission

Letter of Protest from neighboring property owner to City Council

Recommendation:

Approve PUD-294 and BAZ-2036 per Staff and Planning Commission recommendation.