



# City of Broken Arrow

## Legislation Details (With Text)

**File #:** 16-1186      **Name:**  
**Type:** Consent Item      **Status:** Passed  
**File created:** 9/23/2016      **In control:** Broken Arrow City Council  
**On agenda:** 10/4/2016      **Final action:** 10/4/2016  
**Title:** Approval of BAZ-1963, 2.59 acres, A-RE to RE, one-quarter mile west of 23rd Street, one-half mile north of New Orleans Street

**Sponsors:**

**Indexes:**

**Code sections:**

**Attachments:** 1. 1-PLANNING FACT SHEET.pdf, 2. 2- CASE MAP.BAZ-1963.pdf, 3. 3- AERIAL PHOTO.pdf, 4. 4-COMPREHENSIVE PLAN.pdf, 5. 5- ORD 2398 2001 ANNEXING LYNN LANE TO COUNTY LINE.pdf

Date	Ver.	Action By	Action	Result
10/4/2016	1	Broken Arrow City Council	approved	Pass

**Broken Arrow City Council  
Meeting of: 10-04-2016**

**To:** Mayor and City Council Members  
**From:** Development Services Department  
**Title:**

**Approval of BAZ-1963, 2.59 acres, A-RE to RE, one-quarter mile west of 23rd Street, one-half mile north of New Orleans Street**

**Background:**

BAZ-1963 is a request to change the zoning designation on 2.59 acres from, A-RE (Annexed Residential Estate) to RE (Residential Estate). This unplatted property is located one-quarter mile west of 23<sup>rd</sup> Street, one-half mile north of New Orleans Street.

This property was annexed into the City of Broken Arrow on November 9, 2001 (Ordinance No. 2398) with the zoning designation, A-RE. There is an existing single-family structure on this property. The applicant proposes a lot split on this property; however, the property must be rezoned (to be in conformance with the current zoning code) before a lot split application can be submitted.

In their meeting of September 8, 2016, the Planning Commission reviewed and recommended approval (5-0 vote) of BAZ-1963. The Planning Commission fact sheet said Staff recommends platting of this property be waived, provided a 17.5-foot utility easement is provided. During this meeting, Staff advised the Commission that the property was evaluated further and felt that a utility easement is unnecessary. There was one protestant who voiced opposition to the surrounding area being RE, as the property was believed to be Agriculture.

**Cost:** None

**Prepared By:** Farhad K. Daroga, Plan Division Manager

**Reviewed By:** Development Services Department

**Assistant City Manager- Operations  
Legal Department**

**Approved By: Michael L. Spurgeon, City Manager**

**Attachments: Fact Sheet, Planning Commission, September 8, 2016  
Case Map  
Aerial  
Comprehensive Plan  
Annexation Ordinance 2398**

**Recommendation:** Approve BAZ-1963 and waive platting.