



City of Broken Arrow

Minutes Planning Commission

City Hall
220 S 1st Street
Broken Arrow OK
74012

Chairperson Jaylee Klempa
Vice Chair Robert Goranson
Member Lee Whelpley
Member Jonathan Townsend
Member Jason Coan

Thursday, June 23, 2022

Time 5:30 p.m.

Council Chambers

1. Call to Order

Chairperson Jaylee Klempa called the meeting to order at approximately 5:30 p.m.

2. Roll Call

Present: 4 - Jason Coan, Lee Whelpley, Robert Goranson, Jaylee Klempa
Absent: 1 - Jonathan Townsend

3. Old Business

There was no Old Business.

4. Consideration of Consent Agenda

- A. 22-846** Approval of Planning Commission meeting minutes of June 9, 2022
- B. 22-859** Approval of PT22-109, Preliminary Plat, 101st Center, 9.10 acres, A-CN (Annexed Commercial Neighborhood) to CG (Commercial General) located at the southeast corner of New Orleans Street (101st Street) and 23rd Street (County Line Road)
- C. 22-798** Approval of BAL-2159 (Lot Split), BA Business Center Property, 6.2 Acres, northeast corner of North Aspen Avenue (South 145th East Avenue) and West Albany Street (East 61st Street)
- D. 22-882** Approve CA 22-102, Life Demonstration Church, 20 acres, R-1 (Single-Family Residential)/SP-89 (Specific Use Permit), one-half mile south of Houston Street (81st Street), west of Olive Avenue (129th East Avenue)
Staff Planner Micah Snyder presented the Consent Agenda.

Chairperson Klempa asked if there were any items to be removed from the Consent Agenda for discussion; there were none. She explained the Consent Agenda consisted of routine items, minor in nature, and was approved in its entirety with a single motion and a single vote, unless an item was removed for discussion.

MOTION: A motion was made by Robert Goranson, seconded by Lee Whelpley.

Move to approve the Consent Agenda

The motion carried by the following vote:

Aye: 4 - Jason Coan, Lee Whelpley, Robert Goranson, Jaylee Klempa

Chairperson Klempa indicated Item 4A would go before City Council on July 19, 2022 at 6:30 p.m. She noted if any wished to speak regarding this Item, submission of a Request to Speak form would be required prior to the Meeting's start.

5. Consideration of Items Removed from Consent Agenda

No Items were removed from the Consent Agenda; no action was needed or taken.

6. Public Hearings

- A. 22-796** Public hearing, consideration, and possible action regarding BAZ-2112 (Rezoning), Pope Property, 2.82 acres, A-1 (Agricultural) to RE (Rural Residential), located south of Florence Street (111th Street), one-quarter mile west of Aspen Avenue (145th E. Avenue)
Mr. Snyder reported BAZ-2112 was a request to change the zoning designation on 2.82 acres from A-1 (Agricultural) to RE (Residential Estate). He reported the property was located south of Florence Street (111th Street), approximately one-quarter mile west of Aspen Avenue (145th E. Avenue) and was unplatted. He indicated the property owner was interested in splitting the lot into a 1-acre tract and a 1.82-acre tract. He noted there was an existing single-family residence on the property, and the owner expressed interest in constructing an additional single-family residence on the 1.82-acre tract. He explained, if the rezoning request were approved, a request to split the lot would need to be submitted. He stated according to the Zoning Ordinance, the minimum lot size for the A-1 zoning district was 5 acres; with 2.82 acres, there was not enough area to create new lots with A-1 zoning. He stated the RE zoning district required a minimum lot size of 24,000 square feet. He stated

the property had approximately 550 feet of frontage onto S 141st East Ave and 225 feet of frontage onto W Florence Street. He indicated the minimum lot frontage for the RE district was 175 feet. He stated the exhibit submitted by the applicant indicated there would be adequate frontage for the proposed lots with the requested RE zoning. He stated the exhibit also indicated there had not been utility easements dedicated along W Florence Street and S 141st E Ave. He noted S 141st E Ave was a residential street and W Florence Street was a secondary arterial; W Florence St had the minimum ultimate right of way of 100 feet. He noted residential street minimum ultimate right of way was 50 feet, and S 141st E Ave only had 30 feet of right of way. He indicated Staff recommended platting be waived for this rezoning request if approved with the condition that a 17.5-foot utility easement be dedicated. He noted the existing pavement for S 141st E Ave was not centered in the right of way, so Staff requested that the applicant dedicate from the center of the pavement 25 feet west into the subject property as right of way. Mr. Snyder reported according to FEMA's National Flood Hazard Layer, none of the property was located in the 100-year floodplain. He noted the property was designated as Level 1 in the Comprehensive Plan and the RE zoning being requested was in accordance with the Comprehensive Plan in Level 1. He stated based upon the Comprehensive Plan, the location of the property, unique conditions associated with the property, and the surrounding land uses, Staff recommended BAZ-2112 be approved and platting be waived, subject to the following: 1) A 17.5-foot utility easement be provided adjacent to the right-of-way for the entire frontage along West Florence Street (East 111th Street South) & South 141st East Avenue; and 2) Right-of-way being dedicated 25 feet from the center of the existing pavement extending west into the subject property; if this has been completed previously, documentation showing the existing 25-foot right-of-way may be provided in lieu of this requirement. He stated the applicant was in agreement with Staff recommendations.

Commissioner Robert Goranson asked if there was any documentation which showed the 25 foot right-of-way had been provided.

Mr. Snyder responded in the negative.

Chairperson Klempa noted the applicant was not present and no citizens signed up to speak regarding this Item.

MOTION: A motion was made by Lee Whelpley, seconded by Robert Goranson.

Move to approve Item 6A per Staff recommendations

The motion carried by the following vote:

Aye: 4 - Jason Coan, Lee Whelpley, Robert Goranson, Jaylee Klempa

Chairperson Klempa indicated this Item would go before City Council on July 19, 2022 at 6:30 p.m. She noted if any wished to speak regarding this Item, submission of a Request to Speak form would be required prior to the Meeting's start.

B. 22-861 Public hearing, consideration, and possible action regarding BACP-183, Core Church at Aspen Creek Development (Comprehensive Plan Change), approximately 17.25 acres from Level 3 (Transition Area) to Level 4 (Commercial/Employment Nodes) one-quarter mile south of Florence Street (111th Street), east of Aspen Avenue (145th East Avenue), north of the Creek Turnpike

Mr. Snyder reported BACP-183 was a request to change the Comprehensive Plan designation on an approximately 17.25-acre tract of land. He indicated the applicant requested to change from Level 3 (Transition Area) to Level 4 (Commercial/Employment Nodes) to facilitate the redevelopment of a portion of the property for a commercial area. He stated the property was developed as Core Church of the Nazarene and was platted in the City of Broken Arrow as Core Church at Aspen Creek on November 26, 2014. He stated the property had an underlying zoning of ON (Office Neighborhood) which permitted the current place of assembly use by right. He indicated the Level 4 comprehensive plan designation being requested permitted ON as an allowed zoning district in Level 4; therefore, changing the comprehensive plan designation would not negatively affect the current use of the property. He stated according to FEMA maps, none of the property was located in a 100-year floodplain area. He stated Staff recommended BACP-183 be approved and since the property was platted, platting was requested to be waived.

The applicant, Brian Daniel, indicated he was in agreement with Staff recommendations.

Chairperson Klempa noted no citizens signed up to speak regarding this Item.

MOTION: A motion was made by Jason Coan, seconded by Lee Whelpley.

Move to approve Item 6B per Staff recommendations

The motion carried by the following vote:

Aye: 4 - Jason Coan, Lee Whelpley, Robert Goranson, Jaylee Klempa

Chairperson Klempa indicated this Item would go before City Council on July 19, 2022 at

6:30 p.m. She noted if any wished to speak regarding this Item, submission of a Request to Speak form would be required prior to the Meeting's start.

- C. 22-880 Public hearing, consideration, and possible action regarding PUD-340 and BAZ-2113 (Rezoning), Red River Broken Arrow, 28.48 acres, A-1 (Agricultural) to RM (Residential Multi-Family), generally located south and west of the southwest corner of Tucson Street (121st Street) and Elm Place (161st East Avenue)**
- Planning Section Manager Amanda Yamaguchi reported PUD-340 and BAZ-2113 was an application to rezone 28.48 acres from A-1 (Agricultural) to RM (Residential Multifamily) and PUD-340 for a proposed multifamily development. She stated this property was generally located south and west of the southwest corner of Tucson Street (121st Street) and Elm Place (161st East Avenue). She indicated the property was presently unplatted and had a single family home. She stated the applicant proposed to develop the property as a multi-unit, cottage home community. She reported a maximum of 200, stand alone, single-family, and duplex units were proposed to be constructed on the 28.48 acres. She indicated the development would remain as one lot and under the ownership of a single property owner. She noted the dwelling units would be rental units maintained by the property manager. She stated PUD-340 was proposed to be developed in accordance with the RM zoning requirement except as modified in the table in the Staff Report. She stated the maximum number of dwelling units was reduced from 310 to 200; the building height was restricted to 35 feet; there was an internal street setback reduction from 35 feet to 17.5 feet and from 75 feet to 45 feet; minimum off-street parking requirement reduction from 2 parking spaces to 1.5 parking spaces per unit; maximum building coverage reduced from 50% to 35%; parking lot landscaping decreases; and driveway offset reductions. She reported Elm Creek ran along the western portion of the property. She stated a tributary of Elm Creek ran northeast across the property, dividing it into a north and south section. She indicated the developer planned to cross the tributary with a bridge; however, did not intend to make any modification to the creek or tributary. She stated any construction in these areas would require the approval of the Army Corps of Engineers. She stated the property was designated as Level 3, transition area in the Comprehensive Plan. She stated the RM zoning district being proposed was compatible with Level 3 in the Comprehensive Plan.

Ms. Yamaguchi reported a neighborhood meeting was held on June 16, 2022, at the Central Park Community Center; eighteen residents attended the meeting. She noted concerns raised included traffic on Tucson Street and Elm Place, long term impacts on property values, and general concerns regarding the units as rentals. She stated Tucson Street where it abutted this property currently had four traffic lanes and a center turn lane; Elm Place was currently two lanes with a center turn lane. She noted there were no funded projects to widen either of these roads at this time. She stated the Engineering Design Criteria Manual stated a traffic impact analysis shall be performed by a proposed development if the development met the criteria established in the Oklahoma Department of Transportation Policy on Driveway Regulations of Oklahoma Highways. She stated a Traffic Impact Analysis would determine if deceleration lanes were required based upon the anticipated proposed turning movements for the development. She stated based on the location of the property, surrounding land uses, Staff recommended PUD-340 and BAZ-2113 be approved, subject to the property being platted.

The applicant, Jason Mohler with AAB Engineering, indicated he was in agreement with Staff recommendations. He noted Steven Watts with Red River Development was present as well. He discussed the meeting held with the neighbors.

Mr. Steven Watts with Red River Development made a short presentation regarding the proposed development. He noted the development was a unique concept with high end rentals, single level, detached, and was less dense than a multifamily project, but denser than a single family development with approximately 10 units per acre. He noted the development would be fully gated with ample amenities such as a workout facility, resort style pool, dog parks, walking trails throughout, and would be nature-focused with a creek and bridge, walking paths and greenspace. He noted the main entrance would be off Tucson, and maintenance would be provided by the property manager. He displayed and discussed renderings of the proposed development and photos of a similar development his company was constructing. He displayed renderings of the proposed homes and building materials.

Vice Chair Goranson noted he did not see the plans or schedule for the proposed bridge. He asked when the bridge was proposed to be constructed.

Mr. Watts responded the bridge would be constructed at the same time as the overall development.

Vice Chair Goranson asked if the bridge would need to be installed during the infrastructure phase of development.

Planning and Development Manager Jill Ferenc responded in the affirmative.

Mr. Watts stated the intention was to build the bridge with the road.

Vice Chair Goranson asked if this would be a gated community.

Mr. Watts responded in the affirmative.

Vice Chair Goranson noted gated communities required wider roads than proposed with this development.

Mr. Watts noted there was a fire marshal component to this with height and aerial access; straight IFC code would require 26 feet for aerial access, but 24 feet was acceptable with IFC code.

Vice Chair Goranson stated he believed Broken Arrow's codes were different as this was considered a major street because it tied into an arterial street. He stated he believed it was a 26 foot minimum requirement. He noted there were also school buses to consider. He noted assuming school buses were not going to enter the gated community, a turnaround would be required.

Chairperson Klempa noted the school buses would be able to enter the circular parking area to turn around at the main entrance as the gate was after the circular parking area.

Mr. Watts agreed; school buses would be able to turn around and stage for child pickup before exiting the community at the circular parking area.

Ms. Yamaguchi noted during the platting phase of development, Staff would be looking for things such as school bus access and turn around capabilities.

Vice Chair Goranson asked about carports.

Mr. Watts pointed out where the carports and detached garages would likely be located on the rendering. He noted the school buses would be accommodated.

Vice Chair Goranson asked about dumpster pads.

Mr. Watts stated the development would have quite a few dumpster pads.

Ms. Ferenc asked if the streets would be a driveway concept or private street with dedicated reserves. She noted code would allow either driveway with parking such as was seen at an apartment complex, or a private street with reserves.

Mr. Watts noted he was unsure about this and would need to sit with Staff to figure this out.

Ms. Ferenc indicated Staff would meet with Mr. Watts in this regard.

Chairperson Klempa reviewed public hearing rules. She opened the public hearing.

Citizen Edward Mitchell stated he lived adjacent to this property. He indicated he had concerns regarding the environmental impact on the creek in the area, increased traffic, and school overcrowding.

Mr. Jason Mohler stated in regard to environmental impact, the development would not affect Elm Creek. He stated stormwater detention would be onsite, outside the creek. He stated the tributary to the east of Elm Creek was considered floodplain and he coordinated with the floodplain administrator and a bridge was planned to span the creek leaving the creek itself untouched. He noted the tree canopy gave the property character and the plan was to leave the tree canopy alone and the animals living in the creek would be able to remain untouched.

Chairperson Klempa noted the traffic study would be conducted and turn lanes would be installed as needed.

Mr. Mohler noted having the main entrance off of Tucson would help with traffic in and out of the development. He stated Broken Arrow Public Schools was an excellent school system; 196 houses would be constructed and some of the homes would have school age children and he trusted the school system would be able to accommodate the children.

Commissioner Whelpley noted one person would own the whole development, so unlike other developments the City was not responsible for the roads or the bridge. He asked if the bridge had to be developed to certain standards.

Ms. Ferenc responded in the affirmative; if the roads were dedicated as a private street with a

reserve it was required to be built to the City’s standards for street. She stated if it were a driveway of sorts, it would be reviewed by the engineering division and Staff. She asked Jason Dickeson about bridge construction requirements.

Project Engineer Jason Dickeson responded there were free board and flow standards which would be reviewed during the engineering review. He noted even private bridges were required to adhere to state and city construction regulations.

Chairperson Klempa closed the public hearing.

MOTION: A motion was made by Robert Goranson, seconded by Jason Coan.

Move to approve Item 6C per Staff recommendations

The motion carried by the following vote:

Aye: 4 - Jason Coan, Lee Whelpley, Robert Goranson, Jaylee Klempa

Chairperson Klempa indicated this Item would go before City Council on July 19, 2022 at 6:30 p.m. She noted if any wished to speak regarding this Item, submission of a Request to Speak form would be required prior to the Meeting’s start.

D. 22-881 Public hearing, consideration, and possible action regarding PUD-94AA (Planned Unit Development) and BAZ-2114, 51 East at Battle Creek, 23 acres, CG (Commercial General) to RM (Residential Multi-Family) and CG (Commercial General) with PUD-94AA, generally located south and east of the southeast corner of Omaha Street (51st Street) and Aspen Avenue (145th East Avenue)

Ms. Amanda Yamaguchi reported BAZ-2114 was an application to rezone 13.48 acres from CG (Commercial General) to RM (Residential Multifamily), and PUD-94AA was a request for a Major Amendment to PUD-94, for a proposed 23-acre commercial and multifamily development. She reported this property was generally located south and east of the southeast corner of Omaha Street (51st Street) and Aspen Avenue (145th East Avenue); the property was unplatted and undeveloped. She stated BACP-180, a request to change the Comprehensive Plan designation from Levels 2, 4, and 6 to Levels 3 and 4 on this approximately 23 acres was reviewed and approved by the City Council on May 3, 2022 subject to a PUD being submitted similar in context to the draft PUD submitted with the comprehensive plan amendment and the property being platted. She noted the zoning change proposed with BAZ-2114 was considered to be in compliance with the Comprehensive Plan designation proposed with BACP-180. She stated to help make development of the property more compatible with the adjacent land uses, the PUD included the following: 1) The tracts abutting the arterial streets were proposed to be preserved for commercial development. 2) The multi-family area of the development was proposed on the eastern portion of the site. The golf course would act as a buffer between the proposed multi-family development and the existing single-family development to the east. 3) Development standards for Tracts A, C, and E would meet the regulations of the CG zoning district. 4) Tracts B and F were restricted to Passive and Active Open Space, Dog Park, Stormwater Detention Facilities, Overland Drainage and Utility Easement. 5) Tract D contained the multi-family portion of the development and was proposed to be developed in accordance with the RM zoning requirement except as modified in the Staff Report. She reviewed some of the modifications.

Ms. Yamaguchi reported an informational letter was sent to surrounding residents by the applicant on May 27, 2022. She noted the letter outlined the proposed rezoning and PUD and gave an overview of the development plan. She indicated the applicant stated if there were any questions concerning the project, residents could contact the applicant directly. She reported Aspen Avenue where it abutted this property currently had four traffic lanes and a center turn lane; Omaha Street was currently two lanes and did not have a center turn lane. She noted there were no funded projects to widen either of these roads at this time. She indicated the Engineering Design Criteria Manual stated a traffic impact analysis shall be performed by a proposed development if the development meets the criteria established in the Oklahoma Department of Transportation Policy on Driveway Regulations of Oklahoma Highways. She noted a Traffic Impact Analysis would determine if deceleration lanes were required based upon the anticipated proposed turning movements for the development. She stated based on the location of the property, surrounding land uses, Staff recommended PUD-94AA and BAZ-2114 be approved, subject to the property being platted and a traffic study being submitted with the engineering review.

The applicant, Nathan Cross, indicated he was in agreement with Staff recommendations. He reviewed the project changes. He discussed the challenges in developing this land due to the oddly shaped parcel and the reduced market for restaurant, retail and the originally intended big box store development. He displayed and discussed the new proposed Comprehensive Plan layout. He noted the Comprehensive Plan favored the intended development of the parcel. He indicated the corner would be developed as a hard commercial corner while the interior of the parcel would be high density residential which would be a buffer between the golf course and the commercial development. He noted this project began the planning stages months ago and multiple meetings with Staff had been held regarding the best layout

for the property. He discussed the multifamily portion of the property. He displayed and discussed proposed elevations of the apartment buildings and the proposed apartment building layout. He stated the streets would be private, there would be a gate separating the commercial from the residential, but the residential area would not be a gated community.

Vice Chair Goranson asked about the feedback Mr. Cross received.

Mr. Cross indicated he received a call and an email; both were generally objecting to the idea of apartments.

Chairperson Klempa opened the public hearing.

Citizen Ed Richter discussed his residential history in Broken Arrow. He stated there were already two apartments on the west side of 145th in Tulsa and a third was being constructed. He noted traffic was difficult in the area. He stated Broken Arrow had a reputation of supporting private residents and he did not feel any more apartments were needed in the area. He noted this would be the fifth apartment complex within a quarter of a mile. He discussed his concerns with traffic in the area.

Chairperson Klempa indicated three citizens signed the form in opposition to this Item but did not wish to speak.

Citizen James Franklin spoke in opposition. He discussed his concerns with increased traffic. He noted his neighbors were also opposed.

Vice Chair Goranson stated he understood there were concerns with increased traffic. He asked if Mr. Franklin was saying he did not want apartments and he would rather see commercial development on the land or was he wishing there were no development.

Mr. Franklin responded he was unsure. He stated he knew adding 300 apartment units would cause increased traffic in the area, but he was unsure how commercial development would affect traffic in the area. He noted he felt a commercial development would cause a lesser impact on traffic, but he was no expert.

Vice Chair Goranson stated he understood. He noted the property owner had the right to develop the property as long as the property was developed within Broken Arrow regulations.

Chairperson Klempa agreed.

Citizen Larry Stout spoke in opposition. He noted he lived on the golf course and did not wish to have apartments directly across the golf course from his home. He discussed his concerns with school overcrowding as the existing school in the area was at capacity.

Chairperson Klempa noted Broken Arrow Public Schools was constantly rebuilding and remodeling schools to keep up with increased student numbers.

Mr. Stout discussed his residential history in Broken Arrow. He noted when he purchased his home, he understood the property across the golf course would be developed commercial, to which he was not opposed. He asked for the apartments to be kept at two stories rather than three stories to prevent looming. He noted the proposed pond in between the apartments and the golf course would not be much of a buffer if the trees were removed.

Vice Chair Goranson noted the City had landscaping buffer requirements which included trees and shrubberies. He noted the stormwater detention pond could be a wet or dry pond retention area and was necessary to reduce water outflow.

Mr. Stout indicated he understood the importance of a detention pond. He stated he did not know Broken Arrow's landscaping requirements, but a lot of trees would be needed to screen an apartment building. He noted keeping the development entirely commercial would be acceptable as a one story tall strip center or doctor's office would be less intrusive.

Vice Chair Goranson stated he understood; however, the problem was, this piece of land had remained undeveloped for 25 years due to the lack of a market for that type of development which was why the developer was considering a different type of development.

Vice Chair Goranson asked Ms. Ferenc to discuss the Technical Advisory Committee meetings and Broken Arrow Public Schools.

Ms. Ferenc explained twice a month the City coordinated with Broken Arrow Public Schools and any development being platted was reviewed by the School District. She noted quarterly meetings were held with Broken Arrow Public Schools to discuss potential new projects to ensure Broken Arrow Public Schools were well informed regarding upcoming projects and

developments to better enable Broken Arrow Public Schools to plan accordingly.

Ms. Ferenc read a letter from Ms. Courtney Wolin, President of the Magnolia Gardens HOA, in opposition to this Item with concerns regarding traffic, school overcrowding, and multifamily housing overcrowding in the area. She read a letter from Gary Wright who was opposed to the development due to traffic difficulties.

Mr. Nathan Cross indicated he was prepared to commit to constructing a deceleration lane on Aspen for ingress and egress for traffic mitigation. He noted platting and developing this property would provide the necessary right-of-way needed for future widening along this property and would save the City from having to condemn this parcel of land to make room for widening.

Commissioner Coan noted this was his first Planning Commission Meeting, but he spent a lot of time researching these Items before the Meeting. He noted he also had concerns about education and overcrowding, but upon researching the Broken Arrow Public Schools website he discovered every school in Broken Arrow was over capacity. He noted one way to expand schools was through tax revenue and this development would add tax revenue. He explained there were no areas within the City of Broken Arrow which would be better for this development as there were no areas in the City which had an abundance of open classrooms for students. He stated housing was needed in Broken Arrow. He stated he appreciated Staff took the time to note a traffic study would be done. He noted this parcel of land had been vacant for a long time and he felt this development would be much better than an industrial development right next to a golf course. He explained while he had remained quiet for most of the meeting, he wished all to understand he did not make his decisions lightly. He thanked Staff.

Chairperson Klempa closed the public hearing.

MOTION: A motion was made by Jason Coan, seconded by Robert Goranson.

Move to approve Item 6D per Staff recommendations

The motion carried by the following vote:

Aye: 4 - Jason Coan, Lee Whelpley, Robert Goranson, Jaylee Klempa

Chairperson Klempa indicated this Item would go before City Council on July 19, 2022 at 6:30 p.m. She noted if any wished to speak regarding this Item, submission of a Request to Speak form would be required prior to the Meeting’s start.

7. Appeals

There were no Appeals.

8. General Commission Business

There was no General Commission Business.

9. Remarks, Inquiries, and Comments by Planning Commission and Staff (No Action)

Ms. Jill Ferenc welcomed Commissioner Jason Coan to his first Planning Commission Meeting. She stated she looked forward to working with Mr. Coan.

Commissioner Coan thanked Ms. Ferenc, Planning Commission and Staff.

Ms. Ferenc noted on July 14, 2022, a new Planning Commission photo would be taken for the City website. She announced a Complete Streets and Streetscaping Plan project was moving forward. She noted this Plan would plan for all modes of transportation, walking, biking, driving, etc., as well as the aesthetic appeal and branding for the community and would help create a sense of place through landscaping, fencing, etc.

10. Adjournment

The meeting adjourned at approximately 6:46 p.m.

MOTION: A motion was made by Jason Coan, seconded by Lee Whelpley.

Move to adjourn

The motion carried by the following vote:

Aye: 4 - Jason Coan, Lee Whelpley, Robert Goranson, Jaylee Klempa

Mayor

City Clerk