

SCOTT A. THOMPSON Executive Director

OKLAHOMA DEPARTMENT OF ENVIRONMENTAL QUALITY

KEVIN STITT Governor

March 15, 2019

Charles Vokes, Director of Utilities City of Broken Arrow P.O. Box 610 Broken Arrow, Oklahoma 74013-0610

Re:

FY 2019 City of Broken Arrow Pretreatment Compliance Inspection (PCI)

Facility No. S-20409

OPDES Permit No. OK0040053

Dear Mr. Vokes:

A Pretreatment Compliance Inspection (PCI) of the City of Broken Arrow Utilities Authority [Control Authority (CA)]'s Industrial Pretreatment Program was conducted by personnel from the Municipal Wastewater Enforcement Section (MWES), Water Quality Division (WQD), Department of Environmental Quality (DEQ), on February 5, 2019. A copy of the resulting report is enclosed. Please review the report for detailed comments in addition to the findings summarized below.

No findings of required actions/violations were identified during the inspection.

The DEQ would like to commend the CA staff and personnel for operating a very organized and well-implemented Pretreatment Program with extensive Pollution Prevention (P2) activities and outreach that serves as a template for multiple Pretreatment Programs in the State. The CA is also to be commended for participation in State and Regional conferences through participation and presentations.

Questions or comments may be directed to me as follows: E-mail: roshini.schroeder@deq.ok.gov, Telephone: 405-702-8132, or write to me at the letterhead address.

Sincerely,

Asshini Achroeder.
Roshini Schroeder, Pretreatment Coordinator

Municipal Wastewater Enforcement Section

Water Quality Division

RS/BFC/MBM/md

ST/CG

cc: Rudy Molina, Pretreatment Coordinator, US EPA Region 6 (w/enclosure)
Lauren Wilson, Pretreatment Coordinator, City of Broken Arrow (w/enclosure)
Debbie Nichols, Regional Manager, ECLS, DEQ

€ EPA

UNITED STATES ENVIRONMENTAL PROTECTION AGENCY Washington, D.C. 20460

NPDES Compliance Inspection Report

Form Approved OMB No. 2040-0003 Approval Expires 7-31-85

	Section A: National Data System Coding												
Transaction Code NPDES yr/mo/day In								Insp	ес. Ту	ype Inspector Fac Typ			
1 N 2 5 3 O K 0 0 4 0 0 5 3 11 12 1 9 0 2 0 5 17 1								18	P	19 S 20 1			
	Remarks F a c i l i t y N u m b e r S - 2 0 4 0 9												
	67 69		70	71	17	2	73		74 75		80		
-				Section B:	Facili			and the second second		—т			
Cit	me and Location of Facility Inspected y of Broken Arrow Industrial Pretro nn Lane WWTP	eatme	nt Program			9:45	-	y Time [X] AM [] PM				nit Effective Date ober 1, 2017	
	oken Arrow, Oklahoma					I STANDARD		e/Date M / Februa	ry 5, 2019		Permit Expiration Date September 30, 2022		
	nc(s) of On-Site Representative(s) iren Wilson			Title(s) Pretreatment Co	oordin	ator 					Phone No(s) 918-455-4762		
Cha City	ne, Address of Responsible Pretreatme rles Vokes r of Broken Arrow	nt Off	icial	Title Director of Utilities									
	. Box 610 ken Arrow, OK 74013	z:	Phone No. 918-259-8375 Contacted X Yes No										
	Section C: Areas Evaluated During Inspection (S = Satisfactory, M = Marginal, U = Unsatisfactory, N = Not Evaluated)												
N	Permit	N	Flow Measurem	ent	S	Pretrea	tmen	t		N	Ope	eration and Maintenance	
N	Records/Reports	N	Laboratory	N Compliance Schedule N Sludge Disposal					dge Disposal				
			Effluent/Receivi						N	Oth	ier;		
Section D: Summary of Findings/Comments (Attach additional sheets if necessary)													
586	e attached report												
										Ţ.			
	*							¥;					
Name(s) and Signature(s) of Inspector(s) Roshini Schroeder Poslini Ychroedey				Agency/Office/Telephone DEQ/Water Quality Division / 405-702-8132 Municipal Wastewater Enforcement Section					Date 3/15/19				
Name and Signature of Reviewer Brian F. Clagg, P.E. Brian F. Clagg				Agency/Office/Telephone DEQ/Water Quality Division / 405-702-8118 Municipal Wastewater Enforcement Section				Date 3/15/19					
Action	ı Taken			Regulatory Off	ice Us						C.	II. Gr.	
101101	I I divell			Date	Š			1	Comp	pliance Status			
												Noncompliance Compliance	

Oklahoma Department of Environmental Quality NPDES Compliance Inspection Report Supplement Pretreatment Compliance Inspection (PCI)

ı	Name of Control Authority:	City of Broken Arrow				
. 1	Name of Pretreatment Contact:	Lauren Wilson			s	
-	Telephone: 918-455-4762	FAX: 918-455-4172	E-mail addre	cenarrowok.gov		
1	. PRE-SITE VISIT REVIEW To be con Name: Roshini Schroed		rdinator Oakani Achar	redesj.	Date: <u>3/is/19</u>	
F	A. Approved Pretreatment Progra	am (APP)				
1	. Pretreatment Program Approval	Date: September 24	, 1993			
2	. Program Modification Approval [Date(s): 11/1/01			å	
3	. Date of Last Inspection: April 11	, 2018	Type of Last Inspection	on: PCI		
4.	. Annual Report: [40 CFR 403.12(i)]	•	Due: October 31, 201 Received: October 31 Periods Covered: Fro	1, 2018		
5.	Does the annual report docume If yes, explain in Additional Notes/Comments. a) Organizational Structure b) Staffing Levels? c) Multi-jurisdictional Issues	nt any changes to: YES YES YES YES	⊠ NO ⊠ NO ⊠ NO			
В.	Industrial User Status					
1.	Number of Significant Indus	trial Users (SIUs):		3		
2.	Number of Categorical Industria. List any new CIUs in Additional Notes/Comments	Users (CIUs):		4	*)	
3.	SIUs not inspected or sampled:			0		
4.	SIUs without Control Mechanism	IS;		0	8.	
5.	SIUs in Significant Noncompliand (reporting includes BMRs, 90-day, self-monitoring,	ce (SNC) with standards	or reporting:	0		
6.	SIUs in SNC with self-monitoring					
7.	SIUs in SNC with self-monitoring	and not inspected or sa	mpled:	0_		

C. Local Limits

L	ist below the cu	ırrent program lir	nits:	Concentration Lim	nits	ue.				
	rsenic admium	(As) (Cd)	,*	Daily (mg/L) 2.0 0.5						
	hromium-Total			2.8						
	opper	(Cu)		4.5						
	yanide	(CN-)		0.8						
Le	ead	(Pb)		1.5						
	ercury	(Hg)		0.43						
	olybdenum	(Mo)		<u>33.8</u>						
	ckel	(Ni)		5.0						
	elenium Iver	(Se)		no limit			¥			
Zir		(Ag) (Zn)		<u>0.5</u> 5.0						
	l & Grease	(211)		500						
1.	Has the CA e	evaluated their T	BLLs per c	ırrent permit langua	ige?		□ №	□ N/A		
2.	If head-works If no, explain in Addit	s loading, were th	ney evaluat	ed as part of the an	nual report?	YES	□ NO	⊠ N/A		
3.	Have pollutar	nt scans of POTV	V influent a	nd effluent been co	nducted at the frequ	ency require	d by the appro	ved pretreatment		
	program/OPL	DES Permit and stonal Notes/Comments.	submitted a	s part of the annual	report?	⊠ YES	□ NO	vou protroumont		
4.	Are the local I If no, explain in Additi	limits satisfactory	and witho	ut any apparent pro	blems?	\boxtimes YES	☐ NO			
5.	Has the POTV	N been free from	n any inhibi	tions and/or upsets	from IUs since the I	ast annual rei	nort?			
	If no, explain in Addition	onal Notes/Comments.		1		⊠ YES	□ NO			
D. <u>I</u>	<u>Inforcement</u>				•					
1.	SIUs in SNC #	yes, List below				YES	⊠ NO			
2.	SIUs in SNC F	Published 40 CFR 40	03.8(f)(2)(vii)			YES	□NO	⊠ N/A		
3.	Does the APP	include an Enfo	rcement Re	esponse Plan (ERP))?	⊠ YES	□ NO			
	itional Notes/Co	omments:								
Sec	Section # Note or Comment									

- 1.A.4. In the POTW Monitoring Results table contained within the Annual Report (AR), % MAHL was missing numeric numbers.
- C.1. A technically-based local limit (TBLL) study is in progress and will be submitted to DEQ by May 31, 2019. The sampling plan was submitted for review (with the Annual Report) on October 31, 2018.

Name: Roshini Schroeder Signature:	raeders.	Date:	3/15/19	
A. Industrial User Inventory				_
1. Have any SIUs been added or deleted that were not included in the most re	cent Annual Re	port?		
If yes, list any additions or deletions: ADDITIONS	DELETION			
2. Has the industrial survey been kept updated? [40 CFR 403.8(f)(2)(f)]		□NO		
B. <u>Local Limits</u>		7		
3. Do current local limits match approved local limits (see I.C)		□ №		
Note: The remaining sections pertain to the specific IU file reviewed. Name of IU Blue Bell Creameries IU Classification CIU SIU NON-SIU				
C. Control Mechanism/Permit (Permit)			9	
. Is the Permit In Effect?	YES	□ NO		
2. Does the Permit include local limits/categorical standards as applicable?	YES	□ NO		
. Does the Permit include appropriate monitoring and reporting requirements?	⊠ YES	□ NO		
a) Permit include the following standard conditions? a) Permit Transfer Limitations 403.8(f)(1)(iii)(B) b) Termination/Revocation Clause c) Prohibition of Bypass 403.17(d) d) Slug Load Notification Requirement 403.12(f) e) Notification of Upsets and Process Changes 403.8(f)(1)(i): 403.16 f) POTW Right of Entry 403.8(f)(1)(i) and (ii)(B) g) Statement of Applicable Civil and Criminal Penalties 403.8(f)(1)(iii)(E) If not, are these covered by another legal agreement?	YESYESYESYESYESYESYESYESYESYESYES	□ NO□ NO□ NO□ NO□ NO□ NO□ NO□ NO	N/A	
D. Control Authority IU Compliance Inspection				
. Was the IU inspected at the frequency required by the APP?		□ NO	□ N/A	
 Does the CA Compliance Inspection cover the following areas? a) Inspection of the manufacturing facilities? b) Inspection of pretreatment facilities? c) Inspection of the sampling procedures and monitoring records? d) Accuracy of flow measurements/reporting e) Evaluation of the need for a Slug Control Plan? f) Confirmation of compliance with approved TOMP? 	YES YES YES YES YES YES YES YES YES	NONONONONONONONO	□ N/A □ N/A □ N/A □ N/A	

Permit Number OK0040053 Page 4 of 4

3.	. Are inspections sufficiently detailed to identify processes and associated discharges that are regulated by Federal Categorical Standards or to verify that source and discharge locations of all Categorical wastewater streams are unchanged?								
C		YES	□ NO	⊠ N/A					
E. Control Authority IU Compliance Sampling and Analyses									
1.	Was the IU sampled at the frequency required by the APP?		□ №О	□ N/A					
2.	Did the CA sampling of the IU include analysis for all regulated pollutants?	⊠ YES	□ №	□ N/A					
3.	Is the CA keeping proper field notes and chain-of-custody receipts?		□ NO	□ N/A					
F.	Industrial User Self Monitoring								
1.	Does the IU file contain all required self-monitoring reports?		□ NO	□ N/A					
2.	Are all regulated parameters reported by the IU in the self-monitoring reports?		□ NO	☐ M/A					
3.	Have all signatory requirements for CIUs (SMR/TOMP Certification) been met? [4]	10 CFR 403.12(I]) YES	□ NO	⊠ N/A					
	Does the CA verify completeness, approved analytical techniques, proper field no reports?	otes and chai	n-of-custody r	eceipts of IU self-monitoring N/A					
G. Enforcement									
	Is the IU Significantly Noncompliant (SNC)? If yes, has the CA taken appropriate enforcement action [refer to ERP]?	☐ YES ☑ YES	⊠ NO □ NO	□ N/A					
H. Overall Pretreatment Program Evaluation									
1.	Do pretreatment staffing levels and training appear adequate?	⊠ YES	□NO	□ N/A					
	Have any efforts been made to incorporate Pollution Prevention? [Not a requirement] `fyes, describe:	YES	□ NO						
Additional Notes/Comments: Question # Note or Comment									
	A.4. The Pretreatment Coordinator stated that the error in the %MAHL of the POTW Monitoring Results Table in								

II.G.1. The CA issued Blue Bell Creameries (SIU) a Consent Order on January 23, 2017, which is still in effect. Blue Bell has met all of the milestones to date. Surcharges have been suspended for the duration of the Order given that Blue Bell is upgrading their pretreatment system to meet current limits. The engineering status report issued by the contractors upgrading the plant stated that the pretreatment system would be online as of March 2019.

II.H.2. The CA has a robust and proactive pollution prevention (P2) program. The CA keeps metrics on FOG inspections and city-wide recycling, as well as participating in the 2018 Mayor's Challenge for Water Conservation during the month of April 2018. The CA is to be commended for its extensive P2 program and waste diversion activities.