

SCOTT A. THOMPSON Executive Director

OKLAHOMA DEPARTMENT OF ENVIRONMENTAL QUALITY

MARY FALLIN Governor

July 5, 2018

CITY OF BROKEN ARROW

Kenneth Schwab, Assistant City Manager City of Broken Arrow P.O. Box 610 Broken Arrow, Oklahoma 74013-0610 JUL 09 2018

CITY MANAGER'S OFFICE

Re:

FY 2018 City of Broken Arrow Pretreatment Compliance Inspection (PCI)

Facility No. S-20409

OPDES Permit No. OK0040053

Dear Mr. Schwab:

A Pretreatment Compliance Inspection (PCI) of the City of Broken Arrow Utilities Authority [Control Authority (CA)]'s Industrial Pretreatment Program was conducted by personnel from the Municipal Wastewater Enforcement Section (MWES), Water Quality Division (WQD), Department of Environmental Quality (DEQ), on April 11, 2018. A copy of the resulting report is enclosed. Please review the report for detailed comments in addition to the findings summarized below.

No findings of required actions/violations were identified during the inspection.

The DEQ would like to commend the CA staff and personnel for operating a very organized and well-implemented Pretreatment Program with extensive Pollution Prevention (P2) activities and outreach that serves as a template for multiple Pretreatment Programs in the State. The CA is also to be commended for its involvement in State and Regional conferences through participation and presentations.

Questions or comments may be directed to me as follows: E-mail: roshini.schroeder@deq.ok.gov, Telephone: 405-702-8132, or write to me at the letterhead address.

Sincerely,

Doshini Schroeder.

Roshini Schroeder, Pretreatment Coordinator Municipal Wastewater Enforcement Section

Water Quality Division

RS/BFC/MBM/md

ST/CG

cc:

Rudy Molina, Pretreatment Coordinator, US EPA Region 6 (w/enclosure) Lauren Wilson, Pretreatment Coordinator, City of Broken Arrow (w/enclosure) Debbie Nichols, Regional Manager, ECLS, DEQ

\$EPA

UNITED STATES ENVIRONMENTAL PROTECTION AGENCY Washington, D.C. 20460

NPDES Compliance Inspection Report

Form Approved OMB No. 2040-0003 Approval Expires 7-31-85

<u> </u>	THE Computation inspection report																					
	Section A: National Data System Coding																					
Transaction Code NPDES					yr/mo/day I			Insp	ec. Ty	/pe	Ins	specto	r	Fac T	ype							
1	N 2 5 3 O K 0	0	4 0	0	5	3 11	12 1		8 0	4	1	1	_ 1	7	18	P		19	S	20	1	
							D 1															
	F a c i l i t	1	1 1	1	11.	1	Remarks	1	c	١.	1.	١,	1.	.1	.							1
		у	N N	u	m b) е	r		S -	2	0	4	0		9	<u> </u>]
<u> </u>	Reserved	Fa	cility Evalu	ation E				<u>-1</u>	-Rese	erved-						-						
	67 69	1	70			71	72	2 L	73] 74	. 7	5							80	
						Section	B: Facilit	ty D	Data													
Cit	me and Location of Facility Inspected y of Broken Arrow Industrial Pretr	eatmei	nt Program	ı				- 1	Entry Tir 9:35	me	[X] A	М [] Pì	M			mit Ef			e		
	nn Lane WWTP oken Arrow, Oklahoma							- 1	Exit Time 10:45 AM			1, 20	18			Permit Expiration Date September 30, 2022						
	me(s) of On-Site Representative(s) uren Wilson				Title(s) Pretre	,	Coordina	itor	*								ne No -455-4					
Ant	ne, Address of Responsible Pretreatme thony Daniel y of Broken Arrow	ent Off	īcial		Title Directo	or of Ut	ilities												,			Nethoris conductor Angelo
). Box 610				Phone 1	No.			te Ar Amendianse creumen in non tyrospittered			-				Contacted						
Bro	ken Arrow, OK 74013				918-259-8375						X* Yes No											
	Section C: Areas Evaluated During Inspection (S = Satisfactory, M = Marginal, U = Unsatisfactory, N = Not Evaluated)																					
N	Permit	N	Flow Mea	surem	ent		S	Pr	retreatmen	nt					N	$\int O_{J}$	peratio	on and	l Mair	ntenai	ıce	
N	Records/Reports	N	Laborator	У			N	C	ompliance	Sche	dule				N	SI	udge I	Dispo	sal			
N	Facility Site Review	N	Effluent/R	-	_		N	-	elf-Monito		_	_			N	Ot	ther:					
		Sect	tion D: Sun	ımary	of Findi	ngs/Cor	mments (A	Atta	ach addition	onal s	heets i	if ne	cessa	ıry)								
*N	Ir. Daniel was unavailable at anager.	the t	time of th	ie exi	t interv	view. I	Exit inte	erv	iew con	iduc	ted v	vith	Ke	nn	eth	Schv	vab,	Assi	istan	t Ci	ty	
Se	e attached report																					
	ne(s) and Signature(s) of Inspector(s)						Telephone															
	Roshini Schroeder				DEQ / Water Quality Division / 405-702-8132 Municipal Wastewater Enforcement Section					Date 7/5/18												
9	Roshim Dohnoeder. Municipal Wastewater Enforcement Section																					
Nam Bria	Name and Signature of Reviewer Brian F. Clagg, P.E.				Agency/Office/Telephone DEQ / Water Quality Division / 405-702-8118				Date													
Brian F. Class				Enforcement Group Manager				>/5/18														
					Reg	gulatory	Office U	se (Only					No. of the last		016		Remocality				
Actio	on Taken								Date							Com	pliance	e Stat	us			
															+	Т		Non	compl	iance		-
																\top		_	pliano	-		

Oklahoma Department of Environmental Quality NPDES Compliance Inspection Report Supplement Pretreatment Compliance Inspection (PCI)

Ν	ame of Control Authority:	City of Broken Arro	W	
Ν	ame of Pretreatment Contact:	Lauren Wilson		
Te	elephone: 918-455-4762	FAX: 918-455-4172	E-mail addresses: lwilson@brokenarrowe	ok.gov
I.	PRE-SITE VISIT REVIEW To be ac Name: Roshini Schroe		nature: <u>Joshim Schroeder</u>	Date: 1/5/18
A	. Approved Pretreatment Prog	ram (APP)		
1.	Pretreatment Program Approva	al Date: September	24, 1993	
2.	Program Modification Approval	Date(s): 11/1/01 See co	mment Page 2	
3.	Date of Last Inspection: Decen	nber 20, 2016	Type of Last Inspection: MPAA (Pretreatment Audi	it)
4.	Annual Report: [40 CFR 403.12(i)]		Due: October 31, 2017 Received: October 31, 2017 Periods Covered: From <u>10/1/16</u> to <u>9/30/17</u>	
5.	Does the annual report docum If yes, explain in Additional Notes/Comments. a) Organizational Structure b) Staffing Levels? c) Multi-jurisdictional Issues	nent any changes to: YES YES YES YES	NO NO NO NO	
В.	Industrial User Status			
1.	Number of Significant Industri	ial Users (SIUs):	3	
2.	Number of Categorical Industr		4	
3.	SIUs not inspected or sampled		0	
4.	SIUs without Control Mechanis	sms:	0	
5. 6.	SIUs in Significant Noncomplia (reporting includes BMRs, 90-day, self-monitori SIUs in SNC with self-monitori	ng, compliance schedules, and non-		
7.	SIUs in SNC with self-monitori	ng and not inspected o	r sampled:0_	

C. Local Limits

Lis	t below the cui	rrent program limits:	Concentration Limits							
Ca Ch Co Cys Lea Mo Nic Sel Silv Zin	rcury lybdenum kel lenium rer	(As) (Cd) (Cr) (Cu) (CN-) (Pb) (Hg) (Mo) (Ni) (Se) (Ag) (Zn)	Daily (mg/L) 2.0 0.5 2.8 4.5 0.8 1.5 0.43 N/A 5.0 no limit 0.5 5.0 500							
1.	Has the CA e	evaluated their TBLLs per o	current permit language?	⊠ YES	■ NO	□ N/A				
2.		s loading, were they evalua	ated as part of the annual report?	YES	□ NO	⊠ N/A				
3.	Have pollutar program/OPI	nt scans of POTW influent	and effluent been conducted at the as part of the annual report?	frequency require	ed by the app	roved pretreatment				
4.	Are the local		out any apparent problems?	⊠ YES	☐ NO					
5.		W been free from any inhibitional Notes/Comments.	pitions and/or upsets from IUs since	the last annual re	eport?					
D. <u>I</u>	Enforcement									
1.	SIUs in SNC	lf yes, List below		YES	\boxtimes NO					
2.	SIUs in SNC	Published 40 CFR 403.8(f)(2)(vii)		YES	□ NO	⊠ N/A				
3.	Does the APF	o include an Enforcement P	Response Plan (ERP)?	⊠ YES	□ NO					
Grant Assessment of the last o	Additional Notes/Comments: Section # Note or Comment									

II. ONSITE INSPECTION To be completed by Municipal Enforcement Engineer								
Name: Roshini Schroeder Signature: Roshini Schroe	dey-	Date: 7	15/18					
A. Industrial User Inventory								
1. Have any SIUs been added or deleted that were not included in the most red	cent Annual Rep	ort?						
If yes, list any additions or deletions: ADDITIONS	DELETIONS							
2. Has the industrial survey been kept updated? [40 CFR 403.8(f)(2)(i)]	⊠ YES	□ NO						
B. <u>Local Limits</u>								
3. Do current local limits match approved local limits (see I.C)	⊠ YES	□ NO						
Note: The remaining sections pertain to the specific IU file reviewed. Name of IU Blue Bell Creameries IU Classification CIU SIU NON-SIU								
C. Control Mechanism/Permit (Permit)								
1. Is the Permit In Effect?	⊠ YES	□ NO						
2. Does the Permit include local limits/categorical standards as applicable?	YES	□ NO						
3. Does the Permit include appropriate monitoring and reporting requirements?	⊠ YES	☐ NO						
4. Does the Permit include the following standard conditions? a) Permit Transfer Limitations 403.8(f)(1)(iii)(B) b) Termination/Revocation Clause c) Prohibition of Bypass 403.17(d) d) Slug Load Notification Requirement 403.12(f) e) Notification of Upsets and Process Changes 403.8(f)(1)(i); 403.16 f) POTW Right of Entry 403.8(f)(1)(iv) and (vi)(B) g) Statement of Applicable Civil and Criminal Penalties 403.8(f)(1)(iii)(E) If not, are these covered by another legal agreement?	YES	NONONONONONONONONONONO	 N/A 					
D. Control Authority IU Compliance Inspection								
1. Was the IU inspected at the frequency required by the APP?	⊠ YES	☐ NO	□ N/A					
 2. Does the CA Compliance Inspection cover the following areas? a) Inspection of the manufacturing facilities? b) Inspection of pretreatment facilities? c) Inspection of the sampling procedures and monitoring records? d) Accuracy of flow measurements/reporting e) Evaluation of the need for a Slug Control Plan? f) Confirmation of compliance with approved TOMP? 	YESYESYESYESYESYESYESYES	NONONONONONONO	□ N/A □ N/A □ N/A					

	Are inspections sufficiently detailed to identify processes and associated discharges that are regulated by Federal Categorical Standards or to verify that source and discharge locations of all Categorical wastewater streams are unchanged?									
E. <u>C</u>	ontrol Authority IU Compliance Sampling and Analyses	YES	☐ NO	⊠ N/A						
1. V	as the IU sampled at the frequency required by the APP?	⊠ YES	□ NO	□ N/A						
2. D	id the CA sampling of the IU include analysis for all regulated pollutants?		□ NO	□ N/A						
3. Is	the CA keeping proper field notes and chain-of-custody receipts?		☐ NO	□ N/A						
F. Industrial User Self Monitoring										
1. D	oes the IU file contain all required self-monitoring reports?	⊠ YES	□ NO	□ N/A						
2. A	re all regulated parameters reported by the IU in the self-monitoring reports?	⊠ YES	☐ NO	□ N/A						
3. H	ave all signatory requirements for CIUs (SMR/TOMP Certification) been met? [40 CFR 403.12(I]) YES	□ NO	□ N/A						
	oes the CA verify completeness, approved analytical techniques, proper field no onitoring reports?	otes and chai	n-of-custody	receipts of IU self-						
G. Enforcement										
	the IU Significantly Noncompliant (SNC)? yes, has the CA taken appropriate enforcement action [refer to ERP]?	☐ YES ☑ YES	⊠ NO □ NO	□ N/A						
H. <u>O</u>	verall Pretreatment Program Evaluation									
1. De	pretreatment staffing levels and training appear adequate?		□ NO	□ N/A						
	ave any efforts been made to incorporate Pollution Prevention? [Not a requirement] es, describe:	⊠ YES	□ NO							
Additional Notes/Comments: Question # Note or Comment										
I.A.4	I.A.4 The CA submits detailed Annual Reports (ARs) and supporting documents.									
II.G.1. The CA issued Blue Bell Creameries (SIU) a consent order on January 23, 2017, for recurring non-compliance with 5-day biochemical oxygen demand (BOD ₅) and total suspended solids (TSS) that required a waste characterization study and payment of a penalty of \$10,000. The SIU hired Gresham, Smith & Partners to perform the waste characterization study, submit an engineering report, and perform a pilot study of a portable DAF unit. The SIU met with the CA in October 2017 to										

report the pilot study results, after which the SIU was ordered to begin construction by January 8, 2018, and have the

II.H.2. The CA places a high value on Pollution Prevention (P2) and maintains records on all waste-diversion and fats, oils and

grease (FOG) activities. The CA is also very active in educational outreach and events surrounding P2.

pretreatment system constructed by January 7, 2019.