SINGLE AUDIT REPORTS AND SUPPLEMENTARY SCHEDULES

June 30, 2015

TABLE OF CONTENTS

	PAGE			
SINGLE AUDIT REPORTS AND SUPPLEMENTARY SCHEDULES:				
Reports Related to Financial Statements of the Reporting Entity Required by GAO Government Auditing Standards:				
Independent Auditor's Report on Internal Control Over Financial Reporting and on Compliance and Other Matters Based on an Audit of Financial Statements Performed in Accordance with <i>Government Auditing Standards</i>	1			
Reports Related to Federal Assistance Programs Required by OMB Circular A-133:				
Independent Auditor's Report on Compliance for each Major Program and on Internal Control over Compliance Required By OMB Circular A-133; and Report on the Schedule of Expenditures of Federal Awards Required				
by OMB Circular A-133	3			
Schedule of Expenditures of Federal Awards	5			
Notes to the Schedule of Expenditures of Federal Awards	6			
Schedule of Findings and Questioned Costs	7			
Summary Schedule of Prior Audit Findings and Corrective Action Plan	9			



INDEPENDENT AUDITOR'S REPORT ON INTERNAL CONTROL OVER FINANCIAL REPORTING AND ON COMPLIANCE AND OTHER MATTERS BASED ON AN AUDIT OF FINANCIAL STATEMENTS PERFORMED IN ACCORDANCE WITH *GOVERNMENT AUDITING STANDARDS*

To the Honorable Mayor and Members of the City Council City of Broken Arrow, Oklahoma

We have audited, in accordance with the auditing standards generally accepted in the United States of America and the standards applicable to financial audits contained in *Government Auditing Standards* issued by the Comptroller General of the United States, the financial statements of the governmental activities, the business-type activities, the aggregate discretely presented component units, each major fund, and the aggregate remaining fund information of the City of Broken Arrow, Oklahoma (the "City"), as of and for the year ended June 30, 2015, and the related notes to the financial statements, which collectively comprise the City's basic financial statements, and have issued our report thereon dated March 17, 2016.

Internal Control over Financial Reporting

In planning and performing our audit of the financial statements, we considered the City's internal control over financial reporting (internal control) to determine the audit procedures that are appropriate in the circumstances for the purpose of expressing our opinions on the financial statements, but not for the purpose of expressing an opinion on the effectiveness of the City's internal control. Accordingly, we do not express an opinion on the effectiveness of the City's internal control.

A *deficiency in internal control* exists when the design or operation of a control does not allow management or employees, in the normal course of performing their assigned functions, to prevent, or detect and correct, misstatements on a timely basis. A *material weakness* is a deficiency, or a combination of deficiencies, in internal control, such that there is a reasonable possibility that a material misstatement of the entity's financial statements will not be prevented, or detected and corrected on a timely basis. A *significant deficiency* is a deficiency, or a combination of deficiencies, in internal control that is less severe than a material weakness, yet important enough to merit attention by those charged with governance.

Our consideration of internal control was for the limited purpose described in the first paragraph of this section and was not designed to identify all deficiencies in internal control that might be material weaknesses or, significant deficiencies. Given these limitations, during our audit we did not identify any deficiencies in internal control that we consider to be material weaknesses. However, material weaknesses may exist that have not been identified.

Compliance and Other Matters

As part of obtaining reasonable assurance about whether the City's financial statements are free from material misstatement, we performed tests of its compliance with certain provisions of laws, regulations, contracts, and grant agreements, noncompliance with which could have a direct and material effect on the determination of financial statement amounts. However, providing an opinion on compliance with those provisions was not an objective of our audit, and accordingly, we do not express such an opinion. The results of our tests disclosed no instances of noncompliance or other matters that are required to be reported under *Government Auditing Standards*.

Purpose of this Report

The purpose of this report is solely to describe the scope of our testing of internal control and compliance and the results of that testing, and not to provide an opinion on the effectiveness of the City's internal control or on compliance. This report is an integral part of an audit performed in accordance with *Government Auditing Standards* in considering the City's internal control and compliance. Accordingly, this communication is not suitable for any other purpose.

Arlidge + Associates, P.C.

March 17, 2016



INDEPENDENT AUDITOR'S REPORT ON COMPLIANCE FOR EACH MAJOR PROGRAM AND ON INTERNAL CONTROL OVER COMPLIANCE REQUIRED BY OMB CIRCULAR A-133; AND REPORT ON THE SCHEDULE OF EXPENDITURES OF FEDERAL AWARDS REQUIRED BY OMB CIRCULAR A-133

To the Honorable Mayor and Members of the City Council City of Broken Arrow, Oklahoma

Report on Compliance for Each Major Federal Program

We have audited the City of Broken Arrow, Oklahoma's (the "City") compliance with the types of compliance requirements described in the *OMB Circular A-133 Compliance Supplement* that could have a direct and material effect on each of the City's major federal programs for the year ended June 30, 2015. The City's major federal programs are identified in the summary of auditor's results section of the accompanying schedule of findings and questioned costs.

Management's Responsibility

Management is responsible for compliance with the requirements of laws, regulations, contracts, and grants applicable to its federal programs.

Auditor's Responsibility

Our responsibility is to express an opinion on compliance for each of the City's major federal programs based on our audit of the types of compliance requirements referred to above. We conducted our audit of compliance in accordance with auditing standards generally accepted in the United States of America; the standards applicable to financial audits contained in *Government Auditing Standards*, issued by the Comptroller General of the United States; and OMB Circular A-133, *Audits of States, Local Governments, and Non-Profit Organizations*. Those standards and OMB Circular A-133 require that we plan and perform the audit to obtain reasonable assurance about whether noncompliance with the types of compliance requirements referred to above that could have a direct and material effect on a major federal program occurred. An audit includes examining, on a test basis, evidence about the City's compliance with those requirements and performing such other procedures as we considered necessary in the circumstances.

We believe that our audit provides a reasonable basis for our opinion on compliance for each major federal program. However, our audit does not provide a legal determination of the City's compliance.

Opinion on Each Major Federal Program

In our opinion, the City complied, in all material respects, with the types of compliance requirements referred to above that could have a direct and material effect on each of its major federal programs for the year ended June 30, 2015.

Report on Internal Control over Compliance

Management of the City is responsible for establishing and maintaining effective internal control over compliance with the types of compliance requirements referred to above. In planning and performing our audit of compliance, we considered the City's internal control over compliance with the types of requirements that could have a direct and material effect on each major federal program to determine the auditing procedures that are appropriate in the circumstances for the purpose of expressing an opinion on compliance for each major federal program and to test and report on internal control over compliance in accordance with OMB Circular A-133, but not for the purpose

of expressing an opinion on the effectiveness of internal control over compliance. Accordingly, we do not express an opinion on the effectiveness of the City's internal control over compliance.

A *deficiency in internal control over compliance* exists when the design or operation of a control over compliance does not allow management or employees, in the normal course of performing their assigned functions, to prevent, or detect and correct, noncompliance with a type of compliance requirement of a federal program on a timely basis. A *material weakness in internal control over compliance* is a deficiency, or combination of deficiencies, in internal control over compliance, such that there is a reasonable possibility that material noncompliance with a type of compliance requirement of a federal program will not be prevented, or detected and corrected, on a timely basis. A *significant deficiency in internal control over compliance* is a deficiency, or a combination of deficiencies, in internal control over compliance with a type of compliance requirement of a federal program will not be prevented, or detected and corrected, on a timely basis. A *significant deficiency in internal control over compliance* is a deficiency, or a combination of deficiencies, in internal control over compliance with a type of compliance requirement of a federal program will not be prevented, or detected and corrected, on a timely basis. A *significant deficiency in internal control over compliance* is a deficiency, or a combination of deficiencies, in internal control over compliance with a type of compliance requirement of a federal program that is less severe than a material weakness in internal control over compliance, yet important enough to merit attention by those charged with governance.

Our consideration of internal control over compliance was for the limited purpose described in the first paragraph of this section and was not designed to identify all deficiencies in internal control over compliance that might be material weaknesses or significant deficiencies. We did not identify any deficiencies in internal control over compliance that we consider to be material weaknesses. However, material weaknesses may exist that have not been identified.

The purpose of this report on internal control over compliance is solely to describe the scope of our testing of internal control over compliance and the results of that testing based on the requirements of OMB Circular A-133. Accordingly, this report is not suitable for any other purpose.

Report on Schedule of Expenditures of Federal Awards Required by OMB Circular A-133

We have audited the financial statements of the governmental activities, the business-type activities, the aggregate discretely presented component units, each major fund, and the aggregate remaining fund information of the City, as of and for the year ended June 30, 2015, and the related notes to the financial statements, which collectively comprise the City's basic financial statements. We issued our report thereon dated March 17, 2016, which contained unmodified opinions on those financial statements. Our audit was conducted for the purpose of forming opinions on the financial statements that collectively comprise the basic financial statements. The accompanying schedule of expenditures of federal awards is presented for purposes of additional analysis as required by OMB Circular A-133 and is not a required part of the basic financial statements. Such information is the responsibility of management and was derived from and relates directly to the underlying accounting and other records used to prepare the basic financial statements and certain additional procedures, including comparing and reconciling such information directly to the underlying accounting and other records used to prepare the basic financial statements themselves, and other additional procedures in accordance with auditing standards generally accepted in the United States of America. In our opinion, the schedule of expenditures of federal awards is fairly stated in all material respects in relation to the basic financial statements as a whole.

Arlidge + Associates, P.C.

March 17, 2016

SCHEDULE OF EXPENDITURES OF FEDERAL AWARDS

Year Ended June 30, 2015

ral Grantor/Pass-Through Grantor/Program Title	Federal CFDA Number	Pass-Through Entity Identifying Number	-	'ederal enditures
Department of Justice				
Edward Byrne Memorial Justice Assistance Grant	16.738		\$	16,911
Passed-through Oklahoma District Attorney's Council:				
National Institute of Justice Research, Evaluation				
and Developmental Projects Grant	16.560			1,277
Crime Victim Assistance	16.575			38,151
Total Department of Justice				56,339
U.S. Department of Housing and Urban Development				
Passed-through the Tulsa County CDBG Urban County: Community Development Block Grant/				
Entitlement Grants	14.218			1,329,791
Total U.S. Department of Housing and Urban Development				1,329,791
U.S. Environmental Protection Agency				
Passed through the Oklahoma Water Resources Board:				
Capitalization Grants for Drinking Water State Revolving Funds	66.468			282,220
Total U.S. Environmental Protection Agency				282,220
U.S. Department of Transportation				
Passed -through the Oklahoma Highway Safety Office:				
State and Community Highway Safety	20.600	PT-14-03-04-14		9,540
State and Community Highway Safety	20.600	M9MT-14-02-02-14		2,114
State and Community Highway Safety	20.600	PT-15-03-03-15		49,540
State and Community Highway Safety	20.600	MC-15-02-02-14		8,219
Total U.S. Department of Transportation				69,413
Total Expenditures of Federal Awards			\$	1,737,763

See notes to schedule of expenditures of federal awards.

NOTES TO SCHEDULE OF EXPENDITURES OF FEDERAL AWARDS Year Ended June 30, 2015

NOTE 1. BASIS OF PRESENTATION

The accompanying schedule of expenditures of federal awards (the "Schedule") includes the federal grant activity of the City under programs of the federal government for the year ended June 30, 2015. The information in this Schedule is presented in accordance with the requirements of the Office of Management and Budget (OMB) Circular A-133, *Audits of States, Local Governments, and Non-Profit Organizations.* Because the Schedule presents only a selected portion of the operations of the City, it is not intended to and does not present the financial position, changes in net position or cash flows of the City.

NOTE 2. SUMMARY OF SIGNIFICANT ACCOUNTING POLICIES

Expenditures reported on the Schedule are reported on the accrual basis of accounting. Such expenditures are recognized following the cost principles OMB Circular A-87, *Cost Principles for State, Local and Indian Tribal Governments,* and the OMB *Uniform Guidance: Cost Principles, Audit and Administrative Requirements for Federal Awards,* where in certain types of expenditures are not allowable or are limited as to reimbursement. Pass-through entity identifying numbers are presented where available.

NOTE 3. SUBRECIPIENTS

Of the federal expenditures presented in this schedule, the City provided federal awards to subrecipients as follows:

	CFDA		А	mount
Program	Number	Subrecipient	Provided	
Community Development Block Grant/				
Entitlement Grants	14.218	Margaret Hudson Program	\$	11,805
Community Development Block Grant/				
Entitlement Grants	14.218	Broken Arrow Neighbors		21,089
Community Development Block Grant/				
Entitlement Grants	14.218	Broken Arrow Seniors		16,000
Community Development Block Grant/				
Entitlement Grants	14.218	Child Abuse Network		15,000
			\$	63,894

SCHEDULE OF FINDINGS AND QUESTIONED COSTS Year Ended June 30, 2015

SECTION I – SUMMARY OF AUDITOR'S RESULTS

Financial Statements

Type of auditor's report issued:		<u>Unmodified</u>	
Internal control over financial r Material weakness(es) Significant deficiency(not considered to be	identified?	yes	X no X none reported
Noncompliance material to fina	ancial statements noted?	yes	<u>X</u> no
<u>Federal Awards</u>			
Internal Control over major pro Material weakness(es) Reportable condition(s not considered to be	identified?	yes	
Type of auditor's report issued for major programs:	on compliance	Unmodified	
Any audit findings disclosed th to be reported in accord OMB Circular A-133,	dance with	yes	<u>X</u> no
Identification of major program	15:		
CFDA Number(s)	Name of Federal Program	n or Cluster	
14.218	Community Developmen	t Block Grant/Entitlen	nent Grants
Dollar threshold used to disting between Type A and Type B pr		\$ <u> 300,000</u>	
Auditee qualified as low-risk as	uditee?	<u> </u>	no

SCHEDULE OF FINDINGS AND QUESTIONED COSTS Year Ended June 30, 2015

SECTION II – FINANCIAL STATEMENT FINDINGS

Compliance Findings

None

Internal Control Findings

None

SECTION III – FEDERAL AWARDS FINDINGS AND QUESTIONED COSTS

Compliance Findings

None

Internal Control Findings

None

SUMMARY SCHEDULE OF PRIOR AUDIT FINDINGS AND CORRECTIVE ACTION PLAN June 30, 2015

The City of Broken Arrow, Oklahoma respectfully submits the following summary schedule of prior audit findings and corrective action plan for the year ended June 30, 2015.

Name and address of independent public accounting firm: Arledge and Associates, P.C., 309 N. Bryant Avenue, Edmond, Oklahoma 73034.

Audit period: July 1, 2014 to June 30, 2015.

Summary Schedule of Prior Audit Findings

There were no audit findings for the year ended June 30, 2014 that requires preparation of a summary of prior audit findings.

Corrective Action Plan

No corrective action plan is required.

Questions regarding this plan should be addressed to Tom Caldwell at 116 East Dallas Street, P.O. Box 610, Broken Arrow, Oklahoma or by phone at (918) 259-2400.